

# **Algebra of Programming**

## **Lecture notes**

*Prof. Dr. Stefan Milius*

Leon Vatthauer

March 22, 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Functions . . . . .	2
1.2	Data Types . . . . .	2
1.2.1	Natural Numbers . . . . .	3
1.2.2	Lists . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Category Theory</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Special Objects . . . . .	5
2.2	Duality . . . . .	5
2.3	Functors . . . . .	5
2.4	Natural Transformations . . . . .	5
2.5	Functor Algebras . . . . .	5
2.6	Functor Coalgebras . . . . .	5
2.7	(co)Limits . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Constructions</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	CPO . . . . .	6
3.2	Initial Algebra Construction . . . . .	6
3.3	Terminal Coalgebra Construction . . . . .	6
	<b>References</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Introduction

This is a summary of the course “Algebra des Programmierens” taught by Prof. Dr. Stefan Milius in the winter term 2023/2024 at the FAU <sup>1</sup>. The course is based on [2] with [1] as a reference for category theory.

Goal of the course is to develop a mathematical theory for semantics of data types and their accompanying proof principles. The chosen environment is the field of category theory.

## 1.1 Functions

A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping from the set  $X$  (the domain of  $f$ ) to the set  $Y$  (the codomain of  $f$ ). More concretely  $f$  is a relation  $f \subseteq X \times Y$  which is

- *left-total*, i.e. for all  $x \in X$  exists some  $y \in Y$  such that  $(x, y) \in f$ ;
- *right-unique*, i.e. any  $(x, y), (x, y') \in f$  imply  $y = y'$ .

Often, one is also interested in the symmetrical properties, a function is called

- *injective* or *left-unique* if for every  $x, x' \in X$  the implication  $f(x) = f(x') \rightarrow x = x'$  holds;
- *surjective* or *right-total* if for every  $y \in Y$  there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ ;
- *bijective* if it is injective and surjective.

**Example 1.1.** 1. The identity function  $id_A : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $id_A(x) = x$

2. The constant function  $b! : A \rightarrow B$  for  $b \in B$  defined by  $b!(x) = b$

3. The inclusion function  $i_A : A \rightarrow B$  for  $A \subseteq B$  defined by  $i_A(x) = x$

4. Constants  $b : 1 \rightarrow B$ , where  $1 := *$ . The function  $b$  is in bijection with the set  $B$ .

5. Composition of function  $f : A \rightarrow B, g : B \rightarrow C$  called  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$  defined by  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ .

6. The empty function  $\jmath : \emptyset \rightarrow B$

7. The singleton function  $! : A \rightarrow 1$

## 1.2 Data Types

Programs work with data that should ideally be organized in a useful manner. A useful representation for data in functional programming is by means of *algebraic data types*. Some basic data types (written in Haskell notation) are

```
1 data Bool = True | False
2 data Nat  = Zero | Succ Nat
```

These data types are declared by means of constructors, yielding concrete descriptions how inhabitants of these types are created. *Parametric data types* are additionally parametrized by another data type, e.g.

```
1 data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
2 data Either a b = Left a | Right b
3 data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

<sup>1</sup>Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Such data types (parametric or non-parametric) usually come with a principle for defining functions called recursion and in richer type systems (e.g. in a dependently typed setting) with a principle for proving facts about recursive functions called induction. Equivalently, every function defined by recursion can be defined via a *fold*-function which satisfies an identity and fusion law, which replace the induction principle. Let us now consider two examples of data types and illustrate this.

### 1.2.1 Natural Numbers

The type of natural numbers comes with a fold function  $foldn : C \rightarrow (Nat \rightarrow C) \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow C$  for every  $C$ , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} foldn\ c\ h\ zero &= c \\ foldn\ c\ h\ (succ\ n) &= h\ (foldn\ c\ h\ n) \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1.2.** Let us now consider some functions defined in terms of  $foldn$ .

- $iszero : Nat \rightarrow Bool$  is defined by

$$iszero = foldn\ true\ false!$$

- $plus : Nat \rightarrow Nat \rightarrow Nat$  is defined by

$$plus = foldn\ id\ (\lambda f\ n.\ succ(f\ n))$$

**Proposition 1.3.**  $foldn$  satisfies the following two rules

1. **Identity:**  $foldn\ zero\ succ = id_{Nat}$
2. **Fusion:** for all  $c : C$ ,  $h, h' : Nat \rightarrow C$  and  $k : C \rightarrow C'$  with  $kc = c'$  and  $kh = h'k$  follows  $k \circ foldn\ c\ h = foldn\ c'\ h'$ , or diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{c} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & C \\ & \searrow^{c'} & \downarrow k & & \downarrow k \\ & & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & C' \end{array}$$

implies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Nat & \xrightarrow{foldn\ c\ h} & C \\ & \searrow^{foldn\ c'\ h'} & \downarrow k \\ & & C' \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Both follow by induction over an argument  $n : Nat$ :

1. **Identity:**

**Case 1.**  $n = zero$

$$foldn\ zero\ succ\ zero = zero = id\ zero$$

**Case 2.**  $n = succ\ m$

$$\begin{aligned} foldn\ zero\ succ\ (succ\ m) &= succ(foldn\ zero\ succ\ m) \\ &= succ\ m \\ &= id(succ\ m) \end{aligned} \tag{IH}$$

2. **Fusion:****Case 1.**  $n = zero$ 

$$k(\text{foldn } c \ h \ zero) = k \ c = c' = \text{foldn } c' \ h' \ zero$$

**Case 2.**  $n = succ \ m$ 

$$\begin{aligned} k(\text{foldn } c \ h \ (\text{succ } m)) &= k(h(\text{foldn } c \ h \ m)) \\ &= h'(k(\text{foldn } c \ h \ m)) \\ &= h'(\text{foldn } c' \ h' \ m) \\ &= \text{foldn } c' \ h' \ (\text{succ } m) \end{aligned} \tag{IH}$$

□

**Example 1.4.** The identity and fusion laws can in turn be used to prove the following induction principle:

For any predicate  $p : Nat \rightarrow Bool$ ,

1.  $p \ zero = true$  and
2.  $p \circ succ = p$

implies  $p = true!$ . This follows by

$$\begin{aligned} & p \\ &= p \circ (\text{foldn } zero \ succ) && \text{(Identity)} \\ &= \text{foldn } true \ id && \text{(Fusion)} \\ &= true! \circ (\text{foldn } zero \ succ) && \text{(Fusion)} \\ &= true! && \text{(Identity)} \end{aligned}$$

Where the first application of **Fusion** is justified, since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{zero}} & Nat & \xrightarrow{\text{succ}} & Nat \\ & \searrow \text{true} & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ & & Bool & \xrightarrow{id} & Bool \end{array}$$

commutes by the requisite properties of  $p$ , and the second application of **Fusion** is justified, since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{zero}} & Nat & \xrightarrow{\text{succ}} & Nat \\ & \searrow \text{true} & \downarrow true! & & \downarrow true! \\ & & Bool & \xrightarrow{id} & Bool \end{array}$$

trivially commutes.

## 1.2.2 Lists

## **2 Category Theory**

### **2.1 Special Objects**

### **2.2 Duality**

### **2.3 Functors**

### **2.4 Natural Transformations**

### **2.5 Functor Algebras**

### **2.6 Functor Coalgebras**

### **2.7 (co)Limits**

## **3 Constructions**

### **3.1 CPO**

### **3.2 Initial Algebra Construction**

### **3.3 Terminal Coalgebra Construction**

## References

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, and G. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete categories*. Wiley-Interscience, 1990.
- [2] E. Poll and S. Thompson, ‘Algebra of programming by richard bird and oege de moor, prentice hall, 1996 (dated 1997).’, *Journal of Functional Programming*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 347–354, 1999.